

Large charge: advanced applications

Domenico Orlando
INFN | Torino

23 May 2019 | MASS 2019

arXiv:1505.01537, arXiv:1610.04495, arXiv:1707.00711, arXiv:1804.01535,
arXiv:1902.09542, arXiv:1905.00026 and more to come...




Who's who




S. Reffert, M. Watanabe (AEC Bern) [O. Loukas];
L. Alvarez Gaumé (CERN and SCGP);
F. Sannino (CP3-Origins)
D. Banerjee (DESY);
S. Chandrasekharan (Duke);
S. Hellerman (IPMU).

Why are we here? Conformal field theories


extrema of the RG flow




critical phenomena



quantum gravity



string theory




Why are we here? Conformal field theories are hard

Most conformal field theories (CFTs) lack nice limits where they become simple and solvable.

No parameter of the theory can be dialed to a simplifying limit.


In presence of a **symmetry** there can be **sectors of the theory** where anomalous dimension and OPE coefficients simplify.



The idea

Study **subsectors** of the theory with fixed quantum number Q .

In each sector, a large Q is the **controlling parameter** in a **perturbative expansion**.



no bootstrap here!



This approach is **orthogonal to bootstrap**.

We will use an effective action.
We will access sectors that are difficult to reach with bootstrap.
(However, [arXiv:1710.11161](https://arxiv.org/abs/1710.11161)).



Concrete results


We consider the $O(N)$ vector model in three dimensions. In the IR it flows to a **conformal fixed point** Wilson & Fisher.

We find an explicit formula for the **dimension of the lowest primary at fixed charge**:

$$\Delta_Q = \frac{c_{3/2}}{2\sqrt{\pi}} Q^{3/2} + 2\sqrt{\pi} c_{1/2} Q^{1/2} - 0.094 + \mathcal{O}(Q^{-1/2})$$



Summary of the results: $O(2)$



Scales

We want to write a **Wilsonian effective action**.



Choose a cutoff Λ , separate the fields into high and low frequency ϕ_H, ϕ_L and do the path integral over the high-frequency part:

$$e^{iS_\Lambda(\phi_L)} = \int \mathcal{D}\phi_H e^{iS(\phi_H, \phi_L)}$$

Scales

We want to write a **Wilsonian effective action**.



Choose a cutoff Λ , separate the fields into high and low frequency ϕ_H, ϕ_L and do the path integral over the high-frequency part:

$$e^{iS_\Lambda(\phi_L)} = \int \mathcal{D}\phi_H e^{iS(\phi_H, \phi_L)}$$

too hard

Scales

- ▶ We look at a finite box of typical length R
- ▶ The $U(1)$ charge Q fixes a **second scale** $\rho^{1/2} \sim Q^{1/2}/R$



$$\frac{1}{R} \ll \Lambda \ll \rho^{1/2} \sim \frac{Q^{1/2}}{R} \ll \Lambda_{UV}$$



For $\Lambda \ll \rho^{1/2}$ the **effective action is weakly coupled and under perturbative control** in powers of ρ^{-1} .

Wilsonian action

The Wilsonian action is fundamentally useless because it contains infinite terms.



Wilsonian action

The Wilsonian action is fundamentally useless because it contains infinite terms.

At best:



Wilsonian action

The Wilsonian action is fundamentally useless because it contains infinite terms.

At best:

- ▶ a cute qualitative picture;



Wilsonian action

The Wilsonian action is fundamentally useless because it contains infinite terms.

At best:

- ▶ a cute qualitative picture;
- ▶ might allow you to get the anomalies right;



Wilsonian action

The Wilsonian action is fundamentally useless because it contains infinite terms.

At best:

- ▶ a cute qualitative picture;
- ▶ might allow you to get the anomalies right;
- ▶ something that helps you organize perturbative calculations, if your system is already weakly-coupled for some reason;



Wilsonian action

The Wilsonian action is fundamentally useless because it contains infinite terms.

At best:

- ▶ a cute qualitative picture;
- ▶ might allow you to get the anomalies right;
- ▶ something that helps you organize perturbative calculations, if your system is already weakly-coupled for some reason;
- ▶ *maybe* a convergent expansion in derivatives.



Wilsonian action

The Wilsonian action is fundamentally useless because it contains infinite terms.


At best:

- ▶ a cute qualitative picture;
- ▶ might allow you to get the anomalies right;
- ▶ something that helps you organize perturbative calculations, if your system is already weakly-coupled for some reason;
- ▶ *maybe* a convergent expansion in derivatives.

superstition



Too good to be true?




Too good to be true?

Think of **Regge trajectories**.
The prediction of the theory is


$$m^2 \propto J(1 + \mathcal{O}(J^{-1}))$$

but *experimentally* everything works so well at small J that String Theory was invented.



Too good to be true?

The unreasonable effectiveness



of the large charge expansion.

Today's talk

Justify and prove all my claims from first principles, without using effective field theory.

- ▶ well-defined asymptotic expansion (in the technical sense)
- ▶ justify why the expansion works at small charge
- ▶ compute the coefficients in the effective action in large- N



Today's talk

Justify and prove all my claims from first principles, without using effective field theory.

- ▶ well-defined asymptotic expansion (in the technical sense)
- ▶ justify why the expansion works at small charge
- ▶ compute the coefficients in the effective action in large- N

Use the large-charge expansion together with supersymmetry.

- ▶ qualitatively different behavior
- ▶ compute three-point functions
- ▶ resum the large-charge expansion
- ▶ see explicitly the next saddle in the partition function

P A R E N T A L
A D V I S O R Y
E X P L I C I T C O N T E N T



Large N vs. Large Charge



The model

ϕ^4 model on $\mathbb{R} \times \Sigma$ for $2N$ fields

$$S[\varphi] = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{a=1}^{2N} \int dt d\Sigma \left(\partial_\mu \phi_a \partial^\mu \phi_a + \frac{R}{8} \phi_a \phi_a + u(\phi_a \phi_a)^2 \right)$$

Without quadratic term this flows to the wf fixed point in the ir.

We compute the partition function at fixed charge

$$Z_\Sigma(Q) = \text{Tr} \left[e^{-S[\varphi]} \delta(\hat{Q}[\varphi] - Q) \right]$$

Via the state/operator correspondence we extract the conformal dimensions of operators of fixed charge Q on \mathbb{R}^3 :

$$\Delta(Q) = -\frac{1}{\beta} \log Z_{S^2}(Q).$$



Fix the charge

We group the ϕ_a into N complex fields φ_i . The charge we fix is the $U(1)$ that rotates all of them together.

$$\hat{Q}[\varphi] = i \sum_{i=1}^n \int d\Sigma (\varphi_i^* \dot{\varphi}_i - \dot{\varphi}_i^* \varphi_i).$$

So

$$Z_{\Sigma}(Q) = \text{Tr} \left[e^{-S} \delta(\hat{Q} - Q) \right] = \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \frac{d\theta}{2\pi} e^{-i\theta Q} \text{Tr} \left[e^{-S+i\theta \hat{Q}} \right]$$

Since \hat{Q} depends on the momenta, the integration is not trivial but well understood.

$$\begin{aligned} Z_{\Sigma}(Q) &= \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \frac{d\theta}{2\pi} e^{-i\theta Q} \int_{\varphi(2\pi\beta)=e^{i\theta}\varphi(0)} D\varphi_i e^{-S[\varphi]} \\ &= \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \frac{d\theta}{2\pi} e^{-i\theta Q} \int_{\varphi(2\pi\beta)=\varphi(0)} D\varphi_i e^{-S^{\theta}[\varphi]} \end{aligned}$$



Fix the charge

We group the ϕ_a into N complex fields φ_i . The charge we fix is the $U(1)$ that rotates all of them together.


$$\hat{Q}[\varphi] = i \sum_{i=1}^n \int d\Sigma (\varphi_i^* \dot{\varphi}_i - \dot{\varphi}_i^* \varphi_i).$$


So

$$Z_{\Sigma}(Q) = \text{Tr} \left[e^{-S} \delta(\hat{Q} - Q) \right] = \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \frac{d\theta}{2\pi} e^{-i\theta Q} \text{Tr} \left[e^{-S+i\theta \hat{Q}} \right]$$

Since \hat{Q} depends on the momenta, the integration is not trivial but well understood.

$$Z_{\Sigma}(Q) = \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \frac{d\theta}{2\pi} e^{-i\theta Q} \int_{\varphi(2\pi\beta)=e^{i\theta}\varphi(0)} D\varphi_i e^{-S[\varphi]}$$

boundary condition 

$$= \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \frac{d\theta}{2\pi} e^{-i\theta Q} \int_{\varphi(2\pi\beta)=\varphi(0)} D\varphi_i e^{-S[\varphi]}$$


Fix the charge

We group the ϕ_a into N complex fields φ_i . The charge we fix is the $U(1)$ that rotates all of them together.

$$\hat{Q}[\varphi] = i \sum_{i=1}^n \int d\Sigma (\varphi_i^* \dot{\varphi}_i - \dot{\varphi}_i^* \varphi_i).$$

So

$$Z_{\Sigma}(Q) = \text{Tr} \left[e^{-S} \delta(\hat{Q} - Q) \right] = \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \frac{d\theta}{2\pi} e^{-i\theta Q} \text{Tr} \left[e^{-S+i\theta \hat{Q}} \right]$$

Since \hat{Q} depends on the momenta, the integration is not trivial but well understood.

$$\begin{aligned} Z_{\Sigma}(Q) &= \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \frac{d\theta}{2\pi} e^{-i\theta Q} \int_{\varphi(2\pi\beta)=e^{i\theta}\varphi(0)} \mathcal{D}\varphi_i e^{-S[\varphi]} \\ &= \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \frac{d\theta}{2\pi} e^{-i\theta Q} \int_{\varphi(2\pi\beta)=\varphi(0)} D\varphi_i e^{-S^{\theta}[\varphi]} \end{aligned}$$

covariant derivative



Effective actions

The covariant derivative approach:

$$S^\theta[\varphi] = \sum_{i=1}^N \int dt d\Sigma \left((D_\mu \varphi_i)^* (D^\mu \varphi_i) + \frac{R}{8} \varphi_i^* \varphi_i + 2u(\varphi_i^* \varphi_i)^2 \right)$$

where

$$\begin{cases} D_0 \varphi = \partial_0 \varphi + i \frac{\theta}{\beta} \varphi \\ D_i \varphi = \partial_i \varphi \end{cases}$$

Standard construction: introduce a Lagrange multiplier λ and integrate out all the fields but one (which we call σ) [Zinn-Justin].

Effective action

$$S^\theta[\sigma, \lambda] = -(N-1) \text{Tr} \left[\log \left(-D_\mu D^\mu + \lambda + \frac{R}{8} \right) \right] \\ + \int dt d\Sigma \left((D_\mu \sigma)^* (D^\mu \sigma) + \left(\frac{R}{8} + \lambda \right) \sigma^* \sigma + \frac{\lambda^2}{4u} \right)$$

Effective actions

The covariant derivative approach:

$$S^\theta[\varphi] = \sum_{i=1}^N \int dt d\Sigma \left((D_\mu \varphi_i)^* (D^\mu \varphi_i) + \frac{R}{8} \varphi_i^* \varphi_i + 2u(\varphi_i^* \varphi_i)^2 \right)$$

where


$$\begin{cases} D_0 \varphi = \partial_0 \varphi + i \frac{\theta}{\beta} \varphi \\ D_i \varphi = \partial_i \varphi \end{cases}$$

Standard construction: introduce a Lagrange multiplier λ and integrate out all the fields but one (which we call σ) [Zinn-Justin].

Effective action

$$S^\theta[\sigma, \lambda] = -(N-1) \text{Tr} \left[\log \left(-D_\mu D^\mu + \lambda + \frac{R}{8} \right) \right] + \int dt d\Sigma \left((D_\mu \sigma)^* (D^\mu \sigma) + \left(\frac{R}{8} + \lambda \right) \sigma^* \sigma + \cancel{\frac{\lambda^2}{4u}} \right)$$

irrelevant



Integrate all but one



Saddle point

We expand the fields λ and σ around a constant vacuum expectation value (vev) to minimize over

$$\lambda = m^2 + \frac{\hat{\lambda}}{(N-1)^{1/2}} \qquad \sigma = v + \hat{\sigma}$$

and we approximate the integral over θ with a saddle point. (Generalized) gap equations:

$$\frac{dS}{dm} = 2V\beta m v^2 + (N-1) \frac{\partial}{\partial m} \text{Tr} \left[\log \left(-D_\mu D^\mu + m^2 \right) \right] = 0$$

$$\frac{dS}{d\theta} = iQ + 2V \frac{\theta}{\beta} v^2 + (N-1) \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} \text{Tr} \left[\log \left(-D_\mu D^\mu + m^2 \right) \right] = 0$$

$$\frac{dS}{dv} = V\beta \left(m^2 + \frac{\theta^2}{\beta^2} \right) v = 0$$



Zeta functions

In the limit $\beta \rightarrow \infty$ (zero temperature), we regularize with a zeta function $\zeta(s|\Sigma, m) = \sum_p (E(p)^2 + m^2)^{-s}$:

The gap equations become

$$Vv^2 + \frac{N-1}{2} \zeta(1/2|\Sigma, m) = 0,$$

$$-iQ + \frac{2V}{\beta} \theta v^2 = 0,$$

$$2V\beta \left(m^2 + \frac{\theta^2}{\beta^2} \right) v = 0,$$

For finite Q we need necessarily $v \neq 0$ and then $\theta = im\beta$. So we get

$$m\zeta(1/2|\Sigma, m) = -\frac{Q}{N-1}$$



Zeta functions

In the limit $\beta \rightarrow \infty$ (zero temperature), we regularize with a zeta function $\zeta(s|\Sigma, m) = \sum_p (E(p)^2 + m^2)^{-s}$:

The gap equations become

$$Vv^2 + \frac{N-1}{2} \zeta(1/2|\Sigma, m) = 0,$$

$$-iQ + \frac{2V}{\beta} \theta v^2 = 0,$$

$$2V\beta \left(\frac{\theta^2}{\alpha^2} \right) v = 0,$$

For finite Q we need necessarily $v \neq 0$ and then $\theta = im\beta$. So we get

$$m\zeta(1/2|\Sigma, m) = -\frac{Q}{N-1}$$



Large N

We have not used at all the large N condition. Now it's the moment. The action for the fluctuations is an infinite sum of non-local terms

$$\begin{aligned}
 S^\theta [\hat{\sigma}, \hat{\lambda}] = & -(N-1) \text{Tr} \left[\log \left(-D_\mu D^\mu + m^2 \right) \right] \\
 & + \int dt d\Sigma \left((D_\mu \hat{\sigma})^* (D^\mu \hat{\sigma}) + (m^2 + \hat{\lambda}) \hat{\sigma}^* \hat{\sigma} + \frac{\hat{\lambda} v (\hat{\sigma} + \hat{\sigma}^*)}{(N-1)^{1/2}} \right) + \\
 & + \frac{1}{2} \int dx_1 dx_2 \hat{\lambda}(x_1) \hat{\lambda}(x_2) D(x_1 - x_2)^2 + \\
 & + \sum_{n=3}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n(N-1)^{n/2-1}} \int dx_1 \dots dx_n \\
 & \hat{\lambda}(x_1) \hat{\lambda}(x_2) \dots \hat{\lambda}(x_n) P(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n)
 \end{aligned}$$

When N is large we have a natural hierarchy



Order N

At leading order in N , the free energy is

$$F(Q) = -\frac{1}{\beta} \left(i\theta Q + N \frac{\partial}{\partial s} \frac{\Gamma(s-1/2)}{2\sqrt{\pi} \Gamma(s)} \beta \zeta(s-1/2 | \Sigma, m) \Big|_{s=0} \right)$$

Using the gap equations

$$F(Q) = mQ + N \zeta(-1/2 | \Sigma, m)$$

For $\Sigma = S^2$:

$$F(Q) = \frac{N\sqrt{2}}{3} \left(\frac{Q}{N}\right)^{3/2} + \frac{N}{3\sqrt{2}} \left(\frac{Q}{N}\right)^{1/2} - \frac{7N}{180\sqrt{2}} \left(\frac{Q}{N}\right)^{-1/2} + \dots$$



Order N


$$F_{S^2}(Q) = \frac{4N}{3} \left(\frac{Q}{2N}\right)^{3/2} + \frac{N}{3} \left(\frac{Q}{2N}\right)^{1/2} - \frac{7N}{360} \left(\frac{Q}{2N}\right)^{-1/2} - \frac{71N}{90720} \left(\frac{Q}{2N}\right)^{-3/2} + \mathcal{O}\left(e^{-\sqrt{Q/(2N)}}\right)$$



Order N 

$$F_{S^2}(Q) = \frac{4N}{3} \left(\frac{Q}{2N} \right)^{3/2} + \frac{N}{3} \left(\frac{Q}{2N} \right)^{1/2} - \frac{7N}{360} \left(\frac{Q}{2N} \right)^{-1/2} - \frac{71N}{90720} \left(\frac{Q}{2N} \right)^{-3/2} + \mathcal{O}\left(e^{-\sqrt{Q/(2N)}}\right)$$



Order N 

$$F_{S^2}(Q) = \frac{4N}{3} \left(\frac{Q}{2N}\right)^{3/2} + \frac{N}{3} \left(\frac{Q}{2N}\right)^{1/2} - \frac{7N}{360} \left(\frac{Q}{2N}\right)^{-1/2} - \frac{71N}{90720} \left(\frac{Q}{2N}\right)^{-3/2} + \mathcal{O}\left(e^{-\sqrt{Q/(2N)}}\right)$$




Order N

EFT coefficients

EFT coefficients

$$F_{S^2}(Q) = \frac{4N}{3} \left(\frac{Q}{2N}\right)^{3/2} + \frac{N}{3} \left(\frac{Q}{2N}\right)^{1/2} - \frac{7N}{360} \left(\frac{Q}{2N}\right)^{-1/2} - \frac{71N}{90720} \left(\frac{Q}{2N}\right)^{-3/2} + \mathcal{O}\left(e^{-\sqrt{Q/(2N)}}\right)$$



Order N 

asymptotic expansion

$$\begin{aligned}
 F_{S^2}(Q) = & \frac{4N}{3} \left(\frac{Q}{2N} \right)^{3/2} + \frac{N}{3} \left(\frac{Q}{2N} \right)^{1/2} \\
 & - \frac{7N}{360} \left(\frac{Q}{2N} \right)^{-1/2} - \frac{71N}{90720} \left(\frac{Q}{2N} \right)^{-3/2} + \mathcal{O}\left(e^{-\sqrt{Q/(2N)}}\right)
 \end{aligned}$$



Order N^0

The order N^0 terms are

$$S^\theta[\hat{\sigma}, \hat{\lambda}] = \int dt d\Sigma \left((D_\mu \hat{\sigma})^* (D^\mu \hat{\sigma}) + (m^2 + \hat{\lambda}) \hat{\sigma}^* \hat{\sigma} + \frac{\hat{\lambda} v(\hat{\sigma} + \hat{\sigma}^*)}{(N-1)^{1/2}} \right) + \frac{1}{2} \int dx_1 dx_2 \hat{\lambda}(x_1) \hat{\lambda}(x_2) D(x_1 - x_2)^2$$

where $D(x-y)$ is the propagator $(D_\mu D^\mu + m^2)^{-1}$.

At low energies we can approximate the non-local term as

$$\int dt d\Sigma \hat{\lambda}(x)^2 \zeta(2|\theta, \Sigma, m) \approx \frac{V}{2m} \int dt d\Sigma \hat{\lambda}(x)^2$$

and we can integrate $\hat{\lambda}$ out.



Order N^0

The inverse propagator for σ is

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1/2(\omega^2 + p^2 + 4m^2) & m\omega \\ -m\omega & 1/2(\omega^2 + p^2) \end{pmatrix}$$

It describes a massive mode and a massless mode with dispersion

$$\omega^2 + \frac{1}{2}p^2 + \dots = 0 \qquad \omega^2 + 8m^2 + \frac{3}{2}p^2 + \dots = 0$$

This is the conformal Goldstone that we have seen in the EFT.
Its contribution to the partition function is

$$E_G = \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \zeta(1/2|S^2) = -0.0937 \dots$$

This is **universal**. Does not depend on N or Q .



Order N^0

The inverse propagator for σ is

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1/2(\omega^2 + p^2 + 4m^2) & m\omega \\ -m\omega & 1/2(\omega^2 + p^2) \end{pmatrix}$$

It describes a massive mode and a massless mode with dispersion

$$\omega^2 + \frac{1}{2}p^2 + \dots = 0$$

$$\omega^2 + 8m^2 + \frac{3}{2}p^2 + \dots = 0$$

This is the conformal Goldstone that we have seen in the EFT.

Its contribution to the partition function is

$$E_G = \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \zeta(1/2|S^2) = -0.0937 \dots$$

This is **universal**. Does not depend on N or Q .

Order N^0

The inverse propagator for σ is

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1/2(\omega^2 + p^2 + 4m^2) & m\omega \\ -m\omega & 1/2(\omega^2 + p^2) \end{pmatrix}$$

It describes a massive mode and a massless mode with dispersion

$$\omega^2 + \frac{1}{2}p^2 + \dots = 0$$

$$\omega^2 + 8m^2 + \frac{3}{2}p^2 + \dots = 0$$

... the conformal Goldstone that we have seen in the EFT.

Its contribution to the partition function is

$$E_G = \frac{1}{2\sqrt{2}} \zeta(1/2|S^2) = -0.0937 \dots$$

This is **universal**. Does not depend on N or Q .



Higher orders

There are infinite non-local terms

$$S_{\text{nl}} = \sum_{n=3}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n(N-1)^{n/2-1}} \int dx_1 \dots dx_n \hat{\lambda}(x_1) \dots \hat{\lambda}(x_n) P(x_1, \dots, x_n)$$

At low energy they are approximated by

$$S_{\text{nl}} = \sum_{n=3}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n(N-1)^{n/2-1}} \int dx \hat{\lambda}(x)^n C'_n$$

There is only one scale, the charge density $\rho = Q/V$. We must have

$$C'_n = \rho^{3/2-n} C_n$$

So

$$S_{\text{nl}} = Q^{3/2} \sum_{n=3}^{\infty} \frac{C_n}{n(N-1)^{n/2-1}} \int dx \bar{\lambda}(x)^n$$

Infinite corrections of order $Q^{3/2}$ (and following), controlled by $1/N$.

Higher orders

There are infinite non-local terms

$$S_{\text{nl}} = \sum_{n=3}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n(N-1)^{n/2-1}} \int dx_1 \dots dx_n \hat{\lambda}(x_1) \dots \hat{\lambda}(x_n) P(x_1, \dots, x_n)$$

At low energy they are approximated by

$$S_{\text{nl}} \approx \sum_{n=3}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n(N-1)^{n/2-1}} \int dx \hat{\lambda}(x)^n C'_n$$

There is only one scale, the charge density $\rho = Q/V$. We must have

$$C'_n = \rho^{3/2-n} C_n$$

So

$$S_{\text{nl}} = Q^{3/2} \sum_{n=3}^{\infty} \frac{C_n}{n(N-1)^{n/2-1}} \int dx \bar{\lambda}(x)^n$$

Infinite corrections of order $Q^{3/2}$ (and following), controlled by $1/N$.

Higher orders

There are infinite non-local terms

$$S_{nl} = \sum_{n=3}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n(N-1)^{n/2-1}} \int dx_1 \dots dx_n \hat{\lambda}(x_1) \dots \hat{\lambda}(x_n) P(x_1, \dots, x_n)$$

At low energy they are approximated by

$$S_{nl} = \sum_{n=3}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n(N-1)^{n/2-1}} \int dx \hat{\lambda}(x)^n C'_n$$

There is only one scale, the charge density $\rho = Q/V$. We must have


$$C'_n = \rho^{3/2-n} C_n$$

So

$$S_{nl} = Q^{3/2} \sum_{n=3}^{\infty} \frac{C_n}{n(N-1)^{n/2-1}} \int dx \bar{\lambda}(x)^n$$

Infinite corrections of order $Q^{3/2}$ (and following), controlled by $1/N$.

Was it worth it?



Final result

$$\begin{aligned}\Delta(Q) &= \left(\frac{4N}{3} + \mathcal{O}(1)\right) \left(\frac{Q}{2N}\right)^{3/2} \\ &+ \left(\frac{N}{3} + \mathcal{O}(1)\right) \left(\frac{Q}{2N}\right)^{1/2} \\ &+ \dots \\ &- 0.0937 \dots\end{aligned}$$



Final result

$$\Delta(Q) = \left(\frac{4N}{3} + \mathcal{O}(1)\right) \left(\frac{Q}{2N}\right)^{3/2} + \left(\frac{N}{3} + \mathcal{O}(1)\right) \left(\frac{Q}{2N}\right)^{1/2} \dots - 0.0937 \dots$$


no corrections



Final result

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta(Q) &= \left(\frac{4N}{3} + \mathcal{O}(1)\right) \left(\frac{Q}{2N}\right)^{3/2} \\ &+ \left(\frac{N}{3} + \mathcal{O}(1)\right) \left(\frac{Q}{2N}\right)^{1/2} \\ &+ \dots \\ &- 0.0937 \dots \end{aligned}$$

		lattice	leading N	error
$O(2)$	$c_{3/2}$	0.337	0.471	40%
	$c_{1/2}$	0.266	0.236	10%
$O(4)$	$c_{3/2}$	0.301	0.333	10%
	$c_{1/2}$	0.294	0.333	13%



Large charge and supersymmetry



And Now for Something Completely Different

All the models that you have seen have something in common: isolated vacuum. No moduli space.

What happens when there is a flat direction?

Many known examples of (non-Lagrangian) $\mathcal{N} \geq 2$ SCFT in four dimensions.

Coulomb branch with a dimension-one moduli space: all the physics is encoded in a single operator Φ and every chiral operator is just Φ^n .

We will write an effective action for Φ .



Effective action

We have a single vector multiplet. The kinetic term is just

$$L_k = \int d^4 \theta \Phi^2 + \text{c.c.} = |\partial \phi|^2 + \text{fermions} + \text{gauge fields}$$



Effective action

We have a single vector multiplet. The kinetic term is just

$$L_k = \int d^4 \theta \Phi^2 + \text{c.c.} = |\partial \phi|^2 + \text{fermions} + \text{gauge fields}$$

There will also be a WZ term for the Weyl symmetry and $U(1)$ charge.



Effective action

We have a single vector multiplet. The kinetic term is just

$$L_k = \int d^4 \theta \Phi^2 + \text{c.c.} = |\partial \phi|^2 + \text{fermions} + \text{gauge fields}$$

There will also be a WZ term for the Weyl symmetry and $U(1)$ charge. Because of $\mathcal{N} = 2$, **everything else is a D-term** and does not contribute to protected quantities.

$$L^{\text{EFT}} = L_K + \alpha L_{\text{WZ}}$$



Effective action

We have a single vector multiplet. The kinetic term is just

$$L_k = \int d^4 \theta \Phi^2 + \text{c.c.} = |\partial \phi|^2 + \text{fermions} + \text{gauge fields}$$

There can also be a WZ term for the Weyl symmetry and $U(1)$ charge. Because $d = 2$, **everything else is a D-term** and does not contribute to protected quantities.

$$L^{\text{EFT}} = L_k + \alpha L_{\text{WZ}}$$

The coefficient α fixes the a -anomaly of the EFT. It has to match the anomaly in the UV.

Claim: at large R-charge this action is all you need for any $\mathcal{N} = 2$ theory (with one-dimensional moduli space).



Observables

Three-point function of the Coulomb branch operators

$$\left\langle \Phi^{n_1}(x_1) \Phi^{n_2}(x_2) \bar{\Phi}^{n_1+n_2}(x_3) \right\rangle = \frac{C^{n_1, n_2, n_1+n_2}}{|x_1 - x_3|^{2n_1 D} |x_2 - x_3|^{2n_2 D}}$$

The OPE of Φ with itself is regular, so we can set $x_2 = x_1$ and the three-point function is actually a two-point function.

$$C^{n', n-n', n} = |x_1 - x_2|^{2nD} \left\langle \Phi^{n'}(x_1) \bar{\Phi}^{n-n'}(x_2) \right\rangle = e^{q_{n'} - q_{n-n'}}$$

$Q = nD$ is the controlling parameter (it's the R-charge)



Two-point function

$$\langle \Phi^n(x_1) \bar{\Phi}^n(x_2) \rangle = \int D\phi \phi^n(x_1) \bar{\phi}^n(x_2) e^{-S_k}$$

We can just pull the sources in the action and minimize

$$S_k + S_{\text{sources}} \propto k_0 + \int d^4x \left[\partial_\mu \phi \partial_\mu \bar{\phi} - Q \log \phi \delta(x - x_1) - Q \log \bar{\phi} \delta(x - x_2) \right]$$

At the minimum:

$$S = k_0 + k_1 Q - Q \log Q + 2Q \log |x_1 - x_2| + \mathcal{O}(Q^0)$$

so

$$q_n = k_1 Q + k_0 + \left(Q + \frac{1}{2} \right) \log(Q) + \mathcal{O}(Q^0)$$



Two-point function

$$\langle \Phi^n(x_1) \bar{\Phi}^n(x_2) \rangle = \int D\phi \phi^n(x_1) \bar{\phi}^n(x_2) e^{-S_k}$$

We can just pull the sources in the action and minimize

$$S_k + S_{\text{sources}} \propto k_0 + \int d^4x \left[\partial_\mu \phi \partial_\mu \bar{\phi} - Q \log \phi \delta(x - x_1) - Q \log \bar{\phi} \delta(x - x_2) \right]$$

At the minimum:

$$S = k_0 + k_1 Q - Q \log Q + 2Q \log |x_1 - x_2| + \mathcal{O}(Q^0)$$

so

$$q_n = k_1 Q + k_0 + \left(Q + \frac{1}{2} \right) \log(Q) + \mathcal{O}(Q^0)$$



Two-point function: tree level

Adding the WZ term gives another contribution

$$q_n = k_1 Q + k_0 + Q \log(Q) + \left(\alpha + \frac{1}{2} \right) \log(Q) + \mathcal{O}(Q^0)$$

This is the tree-level result.

Corrections from **quantum fluctuations** in the path integral.

No other tree-level terms.



Two-point function: quantum corrections

$1/Q$ is the loop-counting parameter because we are expanding around a VEV that depends on Q .

Sum of a ground state piece and a series in $1/Q$.

$$q_n = Q \log(Q) + k_1 Q + (\alpha + 1/2) \log(Q) + \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{k_m(\alpha)}{Q^m}$$



Two-point function: quantum corrections

$1/Q$ is the loop-counting parameter because we are expanding around a VEV that depends on Q .

Sum of a ground state piece and a series in $1/Q$.

$$q_n = Q \log(Q) + k_1 Q + (\alpha + 1/2) \log(Q) + \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{k_m(\alpha)}{Q^m}$$

interactions from WZ



Two-point function: quantum corrections


$1/Q$ is the loop-counting parameter because we are expanding around a VEV that depends on Q .

Sum of a ground state piece and a series in $1/Q$.

$$q_n = Q \log(Q) + k_1 Q + (\alpha + 1/2) \log(Q) + \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{k_m(\alpha)}{Q^m}$$

Compute order-by-order

$$k_1(\alpha) = \frac{1}{2} \left(\alpha^2 + \alpha + \frac{1}{6} \right)$$



Supersymmetry to the rescue

There is a better way.

The q_n satisfy a **Toda lattice equation** [arXiv:0910.4963](https://arxiv.org/abs/0910.4963)

$$\partial_\tau \partial_{\bar{\tau}} q_n = e^{q_{n+1} - q_n} - e^{q_n - q_{n-1}}$$

This is integrable, but it's hard to find explicit solutions.

Unless...



Supersymmetry to the rescue

There is a better way.

The q_n satisfy a **Toda lattice equation** [arXiv:0910.4963](https://arxiv.org/abs/0910.4963)

$$\partial_\tau \partial_{\bar{\tau}} q_n = e^{q_{n+1} - q_n} - e^{q_n - q_{n-1}}$$

This is integrable, but it's hard to find explicit solutions.

Unless...

...we use the form that follows from the existence of the asymptotic expansion

$$q_n = Qf(\tau, \bar{\tau}) + k_0(\tau, \bar{\tau}) + Q \log(Q) + (\alpha + 1/2) \log(Q) + \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{k_m(\alpha)}{Q^m}$$



Supersymmetry to the rescue

There is a better way.

The q_n satisfy a **Toda lattice equation** [arXiv:0910.4963](https://arxiv.org/abs/0910.4963)

$$\partial_\tau \partial_{\bar{\tau}} q_n = e^{q_{n+1} - q_n} - e^{q_n - q_{n-1}}$$

This is integrable, but it's hard to find explicit solutions.

Unless...

...we use the form that follows from the existence of the asymptotic expansion

$$q_n = Qf(\tau, \bar{\tau}) + k_0(\tau, \bar{\tau}) + Q \log(Q)$$

$$+ (\alpha + 1/2) \log(Q) + \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{k_m(\alpha)}{Q^m}$$



Recursion relation

We can actually solve the recursion relation, using the value of $k_1(\alpha)$ found at one loop.

$$q_n = nA(\tau, \bar{\tau}) + B(\tau, \bar{\tau}) + \log(\Gamma(2n + \alpha + 1))$$

The log term is **universal**, only depends on α .



We have **completely resummed** the $1/Q$ expansion.



Comparison with localization

How well does this work?

For the special case of $SU(2)$ SQCD with $N_f = 4$ we can use localization. [arXiv:1602.05971](https://arxiv.org/abs/1602.05971)





Instanton correction

We can do better.

We have resummed the $1/Q$ expansion around one vacuum.


Exponential corrections coming from the next saddle in the path integral.

$$\Delta_n^2(q_n^{\text{loc}} - q_n^{\text{EFT}})$$





Comparison with localization

Once we add the first exponential correction



Comparison with localization

Once we add the first exponential correction (fixed $\tau = 6$)




Comparison with bootstrap

For strongly coupled theories one can use bootstrap to place bounds on the three-point coefficients with $n = 1$.


This is the worst possible situation for us. And still...




Comparison with bootstrap



Comparison with bootstrap



Comparison with bootstrap



Conclusions

With the large-charge approach we can **access strongly coupled theories**.

The predictions from the EFT are verified when other methods are available (lattice, large N , localization, bootstrap).

There are different “large-charge universality classes”.

For SCFT we can use supersymmetry to resum the large-charge perturbation theory and have a glimpse of what lies beyond the expansion.




Conclusions

With the large-charge approach we can **access strongly coupled theories**.

The predictions from the EFT are verified when other methods are available (**lattice**, large N , localization, bootstrap).

There are different “large-charge universality classes”.

For SCFT we can use supersymmetry to resum the large-charge perturbation theory and have a glimpse of what lies beyond the expansion.




Conclusions

With the large-charge approach we can **access strongly coupled theories**.

The predictions from the EFT are verified when other methods are available (lattice, **large N** , localization, bootstrap).

There are different “large-charge universality classes”.

For SCFT we can use supersymmetry to resum the large-charge perturbation theory and have a glimpse of what lies beyond the expansion.




Conclusions

With the large-charge approach we can **access strongly coupled theories**.

The predictions from the EFT are verified when other methods are available (lattice, large N , **localization**, bootstrap).

There are different “large-charge universality classes”.

For SCFT we can use supersymmetry to resum the large-charge perturbation theory and have a glimpse of what lies beyond the expansion.




Conclusions

With the large-charge approach we can **access strongly coupled theories**.

The predictions from the EFT are verified when other methods are available (lattice, large N , localization, **bootstrap**).

There are different “large-charge universality classes”.

For SCFT we can use supersymmetry to resum the large-charge perturbation theory and have a glimpse of what lies beyond the expansion.



Conclusions

With the large-charge approach we can **access strongly coupled theories**.

The predictions from the EFT are verified when other methods are available (lattice, large N , localization, bootstrap).

There are different “large-charge universality classes”.

For SCFT we can use supersymmetry to resum the large-charge perturbation theory and have a glimpse of what lies beyond the expansion.



Conclusions

With the large-charge approach we can **access strongly coupled theories**.

The predictions from the EFT are verified when other methods are available (lattice, large N , localization, bootstrap).

There are different “large-charge universality classes”.

For SCFT we can use supersymmetry to resum the large-charge perturbation theory and have a glimpse of what lies **beyond the expansion**.

